

## APPENDIX III - RESPONSES TO PUBLIC CONSULTATION

## CANAL HEAD AREA

### WHAT FEATURES DID YOU LIKE?

#### ALL RESPONSES

Check Number	Canal Head Area - What features did you like?
1	Regeneration of the canal side area.
3	Good to see modernisation is proposed - the whole area needs an up-lift.
4	Café. Craft workshops, sports activities.
5	Redevelopment of whole area.
6	Option 3 - I like the visit to centre idea and footpaths.
7	All.
8	Option 3 - Auction relocating not moving away from the town.
9	All.
10	Restaurants, cafes, bars.
11	General restoration - very keen on restoring the sliding mechanism on rail bridge to allow access for boats - a new foot bridge would be great.
12	Improvement of Canal Head/Auction Mart area is needed. Watersport and recreation facilities.
14	Craft workshops, café bar, restaurant.
15	Visitor centre, shops.
17	Pedestrianisation.
19	Canal Head walkways at waterside.
20	Restaurants, pubs, café, walkways, recreational aspect.
22	Canal navigation. Visitor access at Canal Head.
23	Everything which improves this site and makes it more enjoyable to visit.
24	Boating. Visitor Centre. Activities to draw in kids.
26	All.
27	General tidy up will be good.
29	All - in draft form at least.
30	General tidy up. Mix of industrial and new?
32	All of it.
33	Regeneration of Canal side. Recreational facilities - job prospects.
34	All were good ideas.
35	Redevelopment of all "grottness". Heritage Visitor Centre. Use

Check Number	Canal Head Area - What features did you like?
	of existing facility of canal eg boat trips/leisure.
36	Removal of scrapyards and tatty business areas.
37	Variety of suggested interests.
38	Navigation of canal and opening of bridge. Hotel and leisure/heritage centre.
39	Landscaping. Relocate Auction Mart.
41	Most of them but give it more of a continental style waterfront feel.
42	The general promotion of Ulverston as a tourist attraction without rushing into doing too much.
44	Clearance of existing ugly/detracting features.
45	Public access. Restaurant.
47	Whilst the area needs attention some indication of cost and timescale would be helpful.
48	Just open up the area and make the public realise what a good open space it is.
49	Option 3.
52	Clean up the canal, open it up for leisure use.
54	Like jetties and heritage visitor centre.
55	All.
56	Your proposals.
58	Auction Mart removal critical to success.
59	Field area on Booths side of canal.
60	Cycleways. Waterside leisure and visitor centre, walkers etc.
62	All.
66	Canal basin.
70	Alternative path from Booths to town to avoid road. Moving Auction Mart (too close to town). Cleaning up the area.
71	We need alternative footpath through to Canal and Booths. Café style area around docks.
73	Hotel and leisure facilities would make a very welcome intro to Ulverston.
74	Redevelopment of West side of canal.
75	Creation of access to the canal basin (all sides) would have great impact and has great potential.
77	Pedestrian crossing by the Shell garage.
78	More green.
80	The fact the boats will use the canal.
81	Option 1.

Check Number	Canal Head Area - What features did you like?
82	Option 1.
84	The removal of abattoir and cattle market.
93	Waterfront restaurant. Recreation and leisure. Craft.
95	Better utilisation of the area.
97	Most, if not all.
98	Pleased to see place for a heritage centre. Local history support has taken a battering recently but it's an area worthy of a centre. Will it be run voluntarily with a peppercorn rent?
99	Visitor centre, recreational facilities, canal side activity/navigation.
100	Most of it especially craft centres.
105	Nice that it's being tidied up.
111	Development of a once industrial area. I preferred Option 2. I liked the idea of café bars etc alongside visitor centre, and containing parking nearby.
113	Long and short stay car parks, cycle hire, business units and visitor centre.
114	Visitor centre/heritage centre. Jetties and boats. Widening of A590. Upgrading of architecture.
115	Option 3.
116	Restaurants, crafts, cycle links, boat hire, visitor centre, walks.
117	Tidying up of the area - making it pleasant area for enjoying canal.
118	Opening up of this important entrance into Ulverston. Views of canal. Car parking. Improved vehicle access. Use of canalside for walks etc.

**CANAL HEAD AREA**

**WHAT FEATURES DID YOU DISLIKE?**

**ALL RESPONSES**

Check Number	Canal Head Area - What features did you dislike?
1	Favour relocation of Auction Mart.
2	Option 3 - Building on a green field and too expansive regarding site. Can the abattoir not be located at the Old Tannery site?
3	In principle - none. But the proposals are complex and too varied to evaluate clearly and think of selecting option 1, 2 or

Check Number	Canal Head Area - What features did you dislike?
	3.
5	Need to see more simple proposals of site. Drawing too complex/complicated.
7	None.
9	Not sure of access via booths - re other shopping traffic.
11	Need further detail.
12	Unlikely that "hotels, cafes and bars" will get much custom or will draw away from town centre. Too much development on Eastern side on all the options (particularly option 3).
14	Parking - if possible keep cars out of the immediate area.
17	Site E - building on green fields - why can't existing industrial sites be used for the Auction Mart etc.
20	Boat rental. Prestige office accommodation.
26	None.
32	None.
35	Access onto a pressure point of A590?
38	Moving Auction Mart (loss of fields - Site E).
39	Retail units!
40	No provision for by-pass in future.
41	Lack of footbridge over canal.
42	Not to spoil the small town attraction and the individuality of the place.
43	Concerns re movement along Sunderland Terrace area - more cars re new developments on Hart street anyway.
44	Concerns re access via booths car park area (capacity).
46	No water skiing or fast boats.
49	Disused and ugly buildings.
52	Entry via Booths and Crystal Roundabout, its already tight on the access into car parking.
54	Leaving existing Abattoir site as it is.
55	None.
56	A need for good access to A590 from North Lonsdale Terrace.
59	Glaxo Auction Mart area.
62	Wouldn't trust water for bathing quality use at present.
66	None.
70	Housing at top (road) end of canal. No playground for honeypot kids. No walkway on south (top) side of canal.
73	Cannot see how the old industrial sites can fit in with a canal for leisure.
74	ANY development of fields on East side of canal. Removal of

Check Number	Canal Head Area - What features did you dislike?
	footpath on south side of Canal Street - possible pubs, offices etc - we don't NEED pubs and hotels at Canal Head.
77	Development of the East side of the canal. If acquisition of the auction mart is successful a car park and visitor centre can be accessed from North Lonsdale Road. Green field sites should remain as such. The proposed site for a visitor centre would ruin the pleasant character of the canal, it seems you want to commercialise the area, disrespecting its heritage, environmental aspects and local residents. I'm also concerned about the proposal to widen the road and lose a pavement on Canal Street.
80	Do not like the round area - it will restrict boating movements.
81	The office block idea is an awful idea.
82	Options 2 and 3. I live on Canal Street opposite auction mart and do not like the idea of a hotel opposite the houses. Also a plan for on street parking in Sunderland terrace when there is not enough room for the residents!
92	All the commercial developments (other than leisure) which will draw attention away from the town centre, thus amplifying the trade drain already caused by Booths.
93	None.
94	I would prefer to see fields staying fields and buildings erected where buildings have been vacated. No direct pedestrian access from Canal Head to Booths.
95	Who is going to pay?
104	Visitors to Ulverston will not venture into town when they can park more easily here. Booths must be laughing.
105	Laid on parking - people can't park in town so will stay there rather than walk into town.
109	Far too extravagant at the Head. Extra cafes, pubs etc. not needed.
110	Much too ambitious. What about present litter - eg a settee in the canal which has been there some time.
111	Option3 seemed overdeveloped/using space because its there.

## CENTRAL RESIDENTIAL & COMMERCIAL AREA

### WHAT FEATURES DID YOU LIKE?

#### ALL RESPONSES

Check Number	Central Residential & Commerce - What features did you like?
1	Important to redevelop.
3	Good to see modernisation is proposed - the whole area needs an up-lift.
5	The fact whole area would be redeveloped.
6	Recreational area, public art.
8	Prefer bus access to town centre.
9	All.
10	OK.
11	Eco-housing project.
12	Development of brownfield sites.
14	Social housing (Ulverston eco-housing proposal - private dwellings - eco sensitive site - sustainable etc).
15	Option 1.
19	Option 2.
20	Social housing (something ecological maybe). Allotments, gardens.
23	Keep it small (residential development).
26	All.
29	Good.
32	All of it.
33	Loss of bus turnaround.
34	Car parks.
35	Again the redevelopment of general grottness unless access to canal walkway is limited by private ownership.
37	hotel. Parking - refreshments. Canal used for boats.
38	The more development the better.
39	Option 2 County Square. Union Street cobbles.
41	Most of them. Provide more small business units.
42	Too soon to say, we hope it is very well thought.
44	Looks OK.
45	Larger pedestrian areas.
46	Clear up all the old derelict buildings.
48	Pavement opposite Coronation Hall.
49	Furniture recycling.

Check Number	Central Residential & Commerce - What features did you like?
52	It makes use and cleans up the western side of the canal above Glaxo.
53	Open access - visiting facilities.
54	Like pedestrian area outside Coronation Hall.
55	Generally supportive of all.
56	Navigation proposals.
59	General upgrade of surrounding areas.
62	Affordable housing.
65	Liked looks generally very good. Timescale.
66	Coronation hall enhancement.
70	Improvement of rundown area.
71	Great to improve a desolate area. Would like to see a pathway along canal side.
72	Mixed tenure. Sustainable eco-housing.
73	Like the idea of mixed tenure eco houses. Although not on the plan, but I believe there is a group wanting to do this.
75	Sensible and sensitive mix of residential and commercial aspects and public access to canal on both sides.
77	Much of the west side of the canal looks shabby-run down; I welcome a sympathetic redevelopment of this area, ie buildings of traditional style and proportion.
78	More green areas.
81	None.
93	Option 2 with events area.
95	Most of it.
97	Area in front of Coronation Hall (improved Market hall and refurbished).
105	Good that walkers are still considered as long as they aren't charged.
106	Anything which develops the canal area is good as long as walkers can still enjoy strolling.
107	Developing long disused land is good.
109	Needs affordable housing, parks etc.
111	Pedestrianisation plans (case the cars away!!)
112	Nothing special.
115	Wider footpath along Quay/Canal Street.
118	Reorganisation of use and better facilities.

## CENTRAL RESIDENTIAL & COMMERCIAL AREA

### WHAT FEATURES DID YOU DISLIKE?

#### ALL RESPONSES

Check Number	Central Residential & Commerce - What features did you dislike?
3	In principle - none. But the proposals are complex and too varied to evaluate clearly and think of selecting option 1, 2 or 3. Plans on display are both complex, and yet too simplified and unclear.
5	Suggest models are made as plan too complex.
11	needs further detail.
12	Need to include more trees/gardens/green areas particularly along the canal edge west side.
14	Skateboard park.
15	Do not stop buses dropping off at Coronation Hall.
20	Skateboard park.
26	None.
32	None.
33	Pedestrianisation - loss of outlets, loss of jobs - shopping will go out of town.
38	None.
39	Consider link walk impractical.
40	Pedestrianisation of Union Street, New market Street/Market Street will result in a loss of loading facilities (shop purchases) and valuable much needed short stay car parking.
48	Not sure about Market Hall jazzing up. Leaving turning space for buses at Coronation Hall.
49	Skateboard park. Housing should be eco friendly.
52	No access to sea being reintroduced.
59	Commercial area.
62	Hotel.
65	Very nice but seating in middle of County Square with traffic all round, traffic priorities if pedestrianise New Market Street.
70	No walkway along anal.
71	Traffic uses the canalside line. Should be rerouted as there is some speeding on what is a footpath or perhaps card access to residents only and speed humps?
72	Social housing. Should be evenly spread with no more in south Ulverston.
73	Will industrial units be required to plant screening?

Check Number	Central Residential & Commerc - What features did you dislike?
77	The head of the canal should not be built up, the view of the Canal from the road should be maintained, and the view from the canal of Canal Street houses - built at the same time as the canal. This might be a good location for a single storey visitor centre.
78	Could you not have more parking for residents included? I personally find it very hard to park anywhere near my house on lower Brook Street.
81	All of them especially the pub idea but mostly the houses and the office block and shops.
84	Windows in market Hall.
92	Housing along a canal is a recipe for disaster. Leisure activities along the canal pose some risk, but national press reports show young children drown in their own garden pools.  All the commercial developments (other than leisure which will draw attention away from the town centre.
93	Option 1 - position of Laurel and Hardy statue.
94	Too many people invited to reduce the recreational area to disturb the fish, the swans, the anglers and the people pushing prams.
95	Who pays? Bit nebulous?
105	Trade taken away from town centre.
106	Feel that trade will be taken away from town centre businesses. Look at what's happening in Barrow.
107	Worried that town centre will die especially with traffic flow restrictions and pedestrianisation, whereas free parking at Booths.
108	Strongly disagree with plans for viewing area on Sunderland Terrace.
110	Too many bars, cafes etc. Walk way to town not very practical. How many would bother to use it?
112	Lack of thought on the needs of disabled.

## CANAL FOOT AREA

### WHAT FEATURES DID YOU LIKE?

#### ALL RESPONSES

Check Number	Canal Foot Area - What features did you like?
1	Favour whole scheme.
3	Good to see proposals for up-dating and making more attractive and user friendly.
4	Boat trips.
5	The fact whole area would be redeveloped.
6	It is good to make the most of a nice area.
8	Regeneration of the area.
9	All.
11	All.
12	No opinion - not much change. Good to use canal for boats again.
14	Heritage Centre.
15	Navigation proposals.
19	Heritage Centre.
20	Boat trips.
22	Circular walks.
24	Like the proposals.
26	All.
29	Need additional parking.
30	Needs tidying.
32	All of it.
34	Walkway.
37	For Lock-keepers cottage (what about extra parking there is already congestion). Approach to Glaxo for car park.
38	Renew lock gate (not to open). Signed walks and canal boat rides.
41	Most of them.
42	The canal boating is good.
44	Hearts of Oak mooring (a point of interest). Access to coastline.
45	Greater public access to the Canal area.
46	Heritage centre.
48	Just open up the area and make the public realise what a good open space it is.

Check Number	Canal Foot Area - What features did you like?
51	Access for wheelchair users essential along both sides of canal.
53	Lock gates treatment.
54	Boat hire and waterfront restaurant.
55	All.
59	Beach, tow path area.
60	Lock-keepers cottage, heritage centre, waterside leisure, visitor centre, Hearts of Oak boat.
66	All.
68	Mixed eco housing - we'd like this works on your maps.
70	Looking good.
73	Like all that is planned.
75	Again the improvement of access to the canal as a feature and the emphasis on opening up the various walks.
78	Like the idea of smartening up the canal.
81	Wildlife area and seat to sit on while walking down the canal.
93	Circular walks.
94	Wider coastline.
95	Most.
97	All.
99	Recreational routes/better link of Canal Foot area with Canal Head.
100	Easier access to wider coastline.
109	OK.
111	Not a fan of this proposal.
112	Any improvements would be good.
113	Craft units and boat hire and trips.
114	Circular walks. Heritage centre.
118	Walks, use of canal for boat for boat trips. Prevention of use by cars. Use of surrounding land and wooded area. Heritage enhanced.

## CANAL FOOT AREA

### WHAT FEATURES DID YOU DISLIKE?

#### ALL RESPONSES

Check Number	Canal Foot Area - What features did you dislike?
3	None.
5	Suggest models are made as plan too complex.
10	Canal boats moored up.
11	None.
14	Canal boat trips.
16	Industrial enhancement.
26	None.
32	None.
37	None.
40	There is no provision in the plan should Ulverston ever be by-passed properly. Any route will have to cross the canal somewhere. This should be planned now.
44	Another Heritage centre?
49	Lack of detail.
51	Offices: they should be either shops or workshops.
52	Access being denied to sea.
56	It could be a bottleneck as a resident the access to my home is often blocked.
59	Factory eyesore.
63	Lack of parking space would favour interpretation centre on Kennedy Street and adjacent to Rolling Bridge.
74	Canal Foot is fine exactly how it is now.
76	I think parking will be a problem.
77	What's wrong with Canal Foot as it is?
78	What about patrolling the nature areas to stop youth crime.
95	Who pays?
104	Fear this out of town development will kill the town centre.
111	It is already worth the walk down there - it is peaceful and beautiful with interesting views and wildlife and a pub. Allow people to enjoy the bay as it is.
112	Again the lack of thought on disablement. Plans too complicated for layman.
118	Nothing in particular.

## WHAT ARE THE MOST IMPORTANT ISSUES IN YOUR OPINION FOR THE PROJECT TEAM TO CONSIDER?

### ALL RESPONSES

Check Number	CH What are most important issues for project team to consider?
1	Important to aim for redevelopment of whole canal area.
3	Preservation of character of buildings and streets. Consideration of access for elderly/disabled/young. Need to obtain public opinion and give due consideration - some town centre projects have recently been strongly opposed, but opposition disregarded!
4	Quality of outcome - danger of looking like a theme park - avoid at all costs!
5	Make plans on the area less complex. Make models for ease of understanding.
6	Good walking paths. Cycling routes.
9	Ownership of canal - in the event of a Glaxo pullout.
10	Quality design - no shortcuts.
11	Believe this is a very long term project but is an important development to Ulverston and should be pursued with vigour.
12	Want absolutely minimal/no development on current greenfield areas.
14	Need for affordable housing - opportunity to provide a model for sustainable living setup - generating interest and income. Chance to tastefully regenerate a historic but run down area.
15	Environment issues. Attracting all ages. Security. Tidal flooding.
17	Minimum build on greenfield sites.
20	Affordable housing. Protect the environment.
23	Existing wildlife and parking issues - not an easy road to get in/out of.
24	Floating café (on a boat).
29	Cost and getting the private sector on board!
30	Better toilet facilities.
33	Impact on town centre.
37	Impact on North Lonsdale Road residents.
38	Preservation of fields. Development of brown field sites. Improvement of walkway into town.
39	Not to dilute town centre with more "out/edge of town" development. If Ulverston is an "historic market town" let's not kill it.
40	Loss of access/loading etc New Market Street/Union Street,

Check Number	CH What are most important issues for project team to consider?
	market Street and no provision for proper town by-pass in future.
41	Roundabout at North Lonsdale Road/A590 junction is vital.
42	Consider the Ulverstonians and not for us to look like all the other town transformations.
43	Would hate to lose any original features - should be incorporated in any designs
44	Conserve heritage features. Avoid Encroaching on countryside.
45	Access to parking for less able.
46	Protect existing wildlife.
48	Continue to improve environment of Ulverston.
49	Housing should be good quality and environmentally friendly. Reduce cars along canal. What are Greenways?
54	Considering business usage alongside canal and incorporate housing - reasonable cost to us? Junction at Abattoir needs looking at.
55	Traffic from A590.
56	To ensure the well being of the residents in the areas are not detrimentally affected.
59	Funding of project, not to use council tax.
62	Make it happen! Greenodd cycle track.
63	Eager to see Rolling Bridge restored. Need to secure access across canal at this point and public access over old railway junction to Plumpton.
65	Parking in town centre to be suitable for all day and short term - to be inexpensive and consider residents in centre.
66	Balance wildlife/leisure use. Screening to Glaxo complex etc?
70	Kids of the Honey-pot. Traffic on main road (junction with North Lonsdale terrace specially). Keep pedestrian bridge over middle of canal.
71	Good exit/entrance onto A590 from north Lonsdale. Play area for children 2-10 years old as there are large numbers of kids who play in the street. No high rise at Canal Head. Pathway to the Hood.
73	This is an opportunity to create leisure and housing facilities that the town can be proud of and bring people into the area.
74	The same as overleaf. NB. Fields are important, don't build on them.
75	The canal is seen as a public spaces by locals and visitors. This has to be enhanced in a realistic yet sensitive manner.
76	Can something be done about the maram grass that is taking

Check Number	CH What are most important issues for project team to consider?
	over Canal Foot sands. There will soon be no beach, it will be as bad as grange over Sands.
77	Consider the areas heritage when designing buildings. Any development of East side of the canal should involve planting, not building.
78	Resident parking inclusion.
80	The canal must be connected to the estuary so visiting boats can be accommodated on the canal.
81	Traffic going to be bad enough with all the extra cars when the houses on the Lund are finished without more trying to get in and out from the canal area for the people living on Lune Road, North Lonsdale Road and Devonshire Road without more cars. Also there is going to be all the cars from the new houses on Hart Street getting Back into town if they have been out on the A590.
82	Need to take into account feelings of residents of Canal Street, Sunderland Terrace, North Lonsdale and consider traffic - human - vehicular implications.
84	Will farm land be lost?
93	Need to appeal to all social groups. Wheelchair/trolley access. Free bus between Canal Head and town centre.
94	Council Tax. Education and health.
95	Auction mart must move before anything can happen. Road junction with A590 needs sorting!
97	Attracting visitors by significant improvement as per plan access/egress for pedestrians and disabled persons. Safe traffic management particularly in front of Coronation Hall access areas.
98	Enticing people to go "beyond Booths" and back into town. Temptations "en route" will help - ie shops - other features - eg enhanced Ford Park - freedom from too much traffic.
103	Don't overshadow the town centre. A shop at Booths and a walk along the canal, tourists won't bother walking all the way into town. Town centre shops will lose out.

Check Number	CH What are most important issues for project team to consider?
104	The town centre businesses - the town centre parking
105	To turn Canal head into an out-of-town complex will be to the detriment of the town centre.
106	Consider the town centre - the heart of this ancient market town.
107	Must not develop this area to such an extent that people will not come to the centre of Ulverston.
109	Keep costs down. Ideas far too extravagant.
110	High cost of the whole thing. Money from where?
111	Creating employment and visitor interest is paramount to Ulverston's continued success, but it should not be turned into an "urban entertainment centre". Its rural character should be preserved. Bored people can go to Barrow!
112	Pay more attention to the needs of disabled persons of all disabilities. Don't try to make Ulverston a copy of every city centre.
113	Traffic congestion, something for everyone young and old. We desperately need to bring the visitors back.
114	Re-opening of lock. Wildlife conservation. Pollution.
115	Keep local businesses in mind.
116	Opening up accessibility, improving amenities, enhancing nature/looks/interests, encouraging visitors.
117	Residents who live in Sunderland Terrace have problems as it is with youngsters coming home drunk, sitting on seats at present on green, shouting and causing damage to property and cars at 2, 3 and 4 in the morning.
118	Put in plenty of rubbish/dog excrement bins. Park and ride facility into Ulverston?